

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| | The second secon | | | | | |
|--|--|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|
| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
| 09/529,910 | 06/28/2000 | ROBERT SCHULZ | 017835/0362 | 8730 | | |
| 7590 04/21/2006 | | | EXAM | EXAMINER | | |
| TODD J. BUI | | | IP, SIKYIN | | | |
| FOLEY & LARDNER 3000 K STREET N W SUITE 500 WASHINGTON HARBOUR | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | | | 1742 | | | |
| WASHINGTO | N, DC 20007-5109 | | DATE MAILED: 04/21/2006 | DATE MAILED: 04/21/2006 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|---------------|--|--|
| 09/529,910 | SCHULZ ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Sikyin Ip | 1742 | | |

| | Sikyin Ip | | 1742 | - |
|---|--|---|---|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover s | heet with the d | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 30 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF | PLICATION IN CO | NDITION FOR | ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: | wing replies: (1) an tice of Appeal (with | amendment, aff appeal fee) in | fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | Advisory Action, or (2) ater than SIX MONTH (b). ONLY CHECK BC | IS from the mailin | g date of the final rejecti | on. |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corres shortened statutory pe r than three months at | sponding amount eriod for reply orig | of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi | iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 C | FR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | nsideration and/or s w); tter form for appeal | search (see NO | TE below); | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | 21. See attached N | | | (PTOL-324). |
| Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). | | d in a separate, | timely filed amendme | ent canceling the |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-12 and 15-17. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 13. | | | Il be entered and an e | explanation of |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | d sufficient reasons | why the affidat | otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is | or be entered s necessary and |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejection | ons under appe | al and/or appellant fai | ls to provide a |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☒ The request for reconsideration has been consideration. | | | • | |
| because: | 0.00 00. 0000 110 1 | place the application | | |
| See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). | (PTO/SB/08 or PTC |)-1449) Paper N | lo(s) (| /2 |
| 13. Other: | | | | |
| | | | | YIN IP EXAMINER |

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of reasons set forth in final rejection. Applicants' argument in page 6, third paragraph of instant remarks is noted. But, contrary to applicants' argument that WO '214 defines the expression "hydrogen carrier metal" as "metal hydride." Applicants' argument in page 6, fourth paragraph is noted. But, palladium is added to "dissociate" of the hydrogen molecule (WO '214, page 7, lines 25-27). Applicants' argument in paragraph bridging pages 6-7 is noted. But, examples in reference are for illustration not for limitations. Applicants' argument in page 8, first full paragraph of instant remarks is noted. But, WO '214 ball milling hydride as instant claim 1 (see page 8, lines 2-20)